

- Exercises 4.3.31 and 4.3.32, Pg I-225 in the class notes. What is the relation between this result and the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma?

(4.3.31) Show that the rule

$$\varphi \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} \varphi(x) dx$$

defines a distribution on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Solution.** To show that  $T$  is a distribution, it must be both linear and continuous. Linearity is trivial (look at the definition of the integral operator) and are left with simply showing that  $T$  is continuous. We need that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} \phi_m(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} \phi(x) dx$$

whenever  $\phi_m \rightarrow \phi$ . Making use of the linearity of  $T$  then, I will equivalently show that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} (\phi_m(x) - \phi(x)) dx \rightarrow 0$$

Now, given that  $\phi_m, \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , then we will let the support of  $\phi$  be bounded, that is  $\exists R < \infty$  such that  $\text{supp}(\phi) = \{x : |x| < R\}$ . We can then bound the difference of the test function

$$|\phi_m(x) - \phi(x)| \leq p_{R,0}(\phi_m - \phi)$$

and we also have that  $\sup_{x < R} |e^{ixt}| \leq C|e^{it}| \leq C < \infty$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} (\phi_m(x) - \phi(x)) dx \right| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{ixt}| |\phi_m(x) - \phi(x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{-R}^R C p_{R,0}(\phi_m - \phi) dx \\ &= 2RC p_{R,0}(\phi_m - \phi) \\ &\Downarrow \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} (\phi_m(x) - \phi(x)) dx \right| &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore since  $T$  is a linear and continuous functional, we have that it is a distribution on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

(4.3.32) Continue the preceding exercise. Let  $T_j$  be the distribution defined by  $\exp ijx$ . Show that  $T_j \rightarrow 0$  in the sense of Definition 4.3.20. (Hint: write

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ijx} \varphi(x) dx = \frac{1}{ij} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} (e^{ijx}) \varphi(x) dx$$

and integrate by parts. See Example 3.7.18).

**Solution.**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ijx} \phi(x) dx &= \frac{1}{ij} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} (e^{ijx}) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{ij} \left[ e^{ijx} \phi(x) \Big|_{\mathbb{R}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ijx} \phi'(x) dx \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ijx} \phi'(x) dx, \text{ since } \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

Now take the limit,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| -\frac{1}{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ijx} \phi'(x) dx \right| &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{ijx}| |\phi'(x)| dx \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{j} \int_{-R}^R \frac{1}{1+x^2} (1+x^2) |\phi(x)| dx \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{j} \sup_{|x| < R} |(1+x^2)\phi'(x)| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C\pi}{j} \sup_{|x| < R} |(1+x^2)\phi'(x)| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sup_{|x| < R} |(1+x^2)\phi'(x)| < \infty$  since  $\phi$  is a compactly supported, continuously differentiable function, we have that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| -\frac{1}{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ijx} \phi'(x) dx \right| = 0$$

Therefore the distribution  $T_j$  converges to 0, in the sense of Definition 4.3.20.



The Riemann-Lebesgue lemma states that, if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\lim_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(k) = 0.$$

The connection seems almost transparent, as the distribution from the previous exercise, call it  $T_k$  now for commonality, converges to 0 in the sense of Definition 4.3.20. The distribution  $T_k$  is the same in definition as the Fourier transform of an  $L^1$  function, since  $\phi \in L^1$  (due to its compact support).

2. Exercise 4.3.34, Pg. I-225.

(4.3.34) Show that the sum

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \delta(x - k) \tag{1}$$

converges in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  (see 4.3.20)) for arbitrary  $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . [Hint: convergence of the series means: the partial sums  $\sum_{-N}^M$  converge in the sense of Definition (4.3.20) as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $M \rightarrow \infty$ .]

**Solution.** Given that  $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ , then there exists some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{supp}(\phi) \in [-M, M]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \delta(x - k)[\phi] &= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \phi(k), \text{ but since } \phi \in C_0^\infty \\ &= \sum_{-M}^M a_k \phi(k) \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that for all  $k \geq M$ ,  $k \notin \text{supp}(\phi)$ . Hence for all such  $k$ ,

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_k \phi(k) = \sum_{-M}^M a_k \phi(k)$$

and so we have that every partial sum will converge, which implies that Eqn 1 also converges.

### 3. Greens function for Poissons equation

Let  $\chi$  denote the space of smooth functions whose support is contained in  $(0, 1)$ , with  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\chi$ , if there is a closed set  $K \subset (0, 1)$  such that the supports of  $f_n$  and  $f$  are all contained in  $K$ , and the functions  $f_n$  along with all of their derivatives converge uniformly on  $K$ . Let  $\chi'$  denote the distributions on  $\chi$ .

(a)  $y \in (0, 1)$ . Find a function  $G_n(x, y)$  that solves

$$\partial_x^2 G_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with the boundary conditions  $G_n(0, y) = G_n(1, y) = 0$ .

**Solution.** Integrating the above equation once, we have, setting  $G_y(x) = u(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_x(x) - u_x(y - \frac{1}{n}) &= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^x \frac{n}{2} dx \\
u_x(x) &= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^x \frac{n}{2} dx + u_x(y - \frac{1}{n}), \text{ integrating again} \\
u(x) &= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^x \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^x \frac{n}{2} dx_2 dx_1 + xu_x(y - \frac{1}{n}) + u(y - \frac{1}{n}) \\
&= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^x \frac{n}{2} (x - \xi) d\xi + xu_x(y - \frac{1}{n}) + u(y - \frac{1}{n})
\end{aligned}$$

Imposing the boundary condition  $u(0) = 0$ , then gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^0 -\frac{n}{2} \xi d\xi + 0 + u(y - \frac{1}{n}), \text{ thus} \\
u(y - \frac{1}{n}) &= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^0 \frac{n}{2} \xi d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the other boundary condition, that  $u(1) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^{y+\frac{1}{n}} \frac{n}{2} (1 - \xi) d\xi + u_x(y - \frac{1}{n}) + u(y - \frac{1}{n}), \text{ and so} \\
u_x(y - \frac{1}{n}) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{n}{2} (\xi - 1) d\xi - u(y - \frac{1}{n}) \\
&\Downarrow \\
u(x) &= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^x \frac{n}{2} (x - \xi) d\xi + x \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^{y+\frac{1}{n}} \frac{n}{2} (\xi - 1) d\xi \\
&= \int_{y-\frac{1}{n}}^{y+\frac{1}{n}} k(x, \xi) d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$k(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} \xi (x - 1), & y - \frac{1}{n} \leq \xi \leq x \\ \frac{n}{2} x (\xi - 1), & x \leq \xi \leq y + \frac{1}{n} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

(b) Show that, for each fixed  $y \in (0, 1)$ , the sequence of functions  $G_n(x, y)$  converges uniformly to a limit which we denote by  $G(x, y)$ . Show also that  $G_n(\cdot, y) \rightarrow G(\cdot, y)$  as distributions in  $\chi$ .

**Solution.** An obvious proposal for the limit of this sequence is

$$G(x, y) = \begin{cases} (y-1)x & x \leq y \\ (x-1)y & x > y. \end{cases}$$

We seek to bound the uniform norm of  $G_n - G$  by a quantity that converges to zero. Observe that  $G_n(x, y) = G(x, y)$  in the region  $|x - y| > 1/n$ . Thus,

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |G_n(x, y) - G(x, y)| = \sup_{|x-y| \leq 1/n} |G_n(x, y) - G(x, y)| = (*).$$

Both functions are symmetric about  $x = y$ , so we only need to consider the interval  $y - 1/n \leq x \leq y$ . Note that  $G_n(x, y) = G(x, y)$  and  $\partial_x G_n(x, y) = \partial_x G(x, y)$  at  $x = y - 1/n$ . The facts that  $\partial_x^2 G_n(x, y) > 0$  and  $\partial_x^2 G(x, y) = 0$  on this interval imply that extrema are on the boundary. Hence,

$$(*) = |G_n(y, y) - G(y, y)| = \left| \frac{n}{4} y^2 - \frac{n}{2} \left( y - \frac{1}{n} \right) y + \frac{n}{4} \left( y - \frac{1}{n} \right)^2 - (y-1)y \right| = \frac{1}{4n} \rightarrow 0.$$

In other words, given  $\epsilon > 0$  it is possible to find  $N < \infty$  such that  $|G_n(x, y) - G(x, y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \geq N$ . To show convergence in distributions, we find for any  $\varphi \in \chi$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 (G_n(x, y) - G(x, y)) \varphi(x) dx \right| &\leq \int_0^1 |G_n(x, y) - G(x, y)| |\varphi(x)| dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |G_n(x, y) - G(x, y)| \int_0^1 |\varphi(x)| dx \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Compute  $\partial_x G(x, y)$  and  $\partial_x^2 G(x, y)$  in the sense of distributions on  $\chi$ .

**Solution.** See part (b).

In what follows,  $f$  is a given smooth function that has compact support in  $[0, 1]$ , and  $\delta_y \in \chi'$  denotes the distribution  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(y)$  for every  $\varphi \in \chi$ .

(d) Show that the sequence of distributions  $T_n$  given by

$$T_n = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \delta_{\frac{k}{n}}$$

converges in the sense of distributions. Identify the limit distribution  $T$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\phi \in \chi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(\phi) &= \int_K -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \delta_{\frac{k}{n}} \phi(x) dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we will be taking an infinite sum over the region  $(0, 1)$ , and multiplying said sum by an infinitely small number. Hence

$$-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \rightarrow - \int_0^1 f(x) \phi(x) dx$$

and we have that  $T_n \rightarrow T_f$ , where  $T_f$  is the right hand side above.

(e) Show that, for each  $n$ , there is a function  $u_n$  which vanishes at 0 and 1, such that the distributional derivative  $u_n'' = T_n$ .

**Solution.** Generally we have that  $u'' = f$ . If we look for a distributional solution then, for  $\phi \in \chi$

$$\begin{aligned} u_n''[\phi] &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \delta_{\frac{k}{n}} \phi(x) \\ &= T(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

(f) Prove the existence of a function  $u$  which gives a (distributional) solution to Poissons equation  $u'' = f$  with  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ .

**Solution.** Let the solution to Poissons equation be given by

$$u(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x, \xi) \phi(x) dx,$$

where we define  $k$  in Eqn. 2.

#### 4. Poissons equation in 3 dimensions

In what follows  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\Delta = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + \partial_{x_3}^2$ . Recall, that  $\mathcal{D}'$  denotes the space of distributions on compactly supported smooth functions.

(a) Show that PV  $(1/\|x\|)$  defined by

$$\text{PV} \left( \frac{1}{\|x\|} \right) (\varphi) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\|x\| > \epsilon} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\|x\|} dx \tag{3}$$

is a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**Solution.** If the limit does indeed exist for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , it is clear that  $\text{PV}(1/\|x\|)$  is linear since the integral operator is linear. To show the existence of the limit, we may write the principal value in spherical polar coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV} \left( \frac{1}{\|x\|} \right) (\varphi) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\|x\| > \epsilon} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\|x\|} dx \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} r \sin(\phi) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} r \sin(\phi) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \end{aligned}$$

To show continuity, suppose  $\varphi_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . There exists  $R < \infty$  (independent of  $j$ ) such that  $\varphi_j(x) = 0$  for all  $\|x\| \geq R$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \text{PV} \left( \frac{1}{\|x\|} \right) (\varphi_j) \right| &= \left| \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi r \sin(\phi) \varphi_j(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi r \sin(\phi) \varphi_j(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi r |\varphi_j(r, \theta, \phi)| d\phi d\theta dr \\
&\leq p_{R,0}(\varphi_j) \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi r d\phi d\theta dr \\
&= \pi R^2 p_{R,0}(\varphi_j) \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{PV}(1/\|x\|)$  is a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

(b) Find  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  such that the function  $\Psi_n(r)$  given by

$$\Psi_n(r) = \begin{cases} a_n - b_n r^2 & 0 \leq r \leq n^{-1} \\ \frac{1}{4\pi r} & r > n^{-1} \end{cases}$$

is continuous and differentiable for  $r \in (0, \infty)$ .

**Solution.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_n\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) &= a_n - \frac{b_n}{n^2} \\
&= \frac{n}{4\pi}, \text{ and now evaluate the derivative} \\
\Psi_n'\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) &= -\frac{2b_n}{n} \\
&= -\frac{n^2}{4\pi}, \text{ hence} \\
b_n &= \frac{n^3}{8\pi} \\
a_n &= \frac{3n}{8\pi}
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\Psi_n(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{3n}{8\pi} - \frac{r^2 n^3}{8\pi} & 0 \leq r \leq n^{-1} \\ \frac{1}{4\pi r} & r > n^{-1} \end{cases}$$

(c) Show that  $\Psi_n(\|x\|) \rightarrow (4\pi)^{-1} \text{PV}(1/\|x\|)$  in the sense of distributions.

**Solution.** Suppose  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Psi_n(\|x\|) \varphi(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r^2 \sin(\phi) \Psi_n(r) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{r \leq 1/n} r^2 \sin(\phi) (3n - n^3 r^2) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{r > 1/n} r \sin(\phi) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \end{aligned}$$

To show convergence, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Psi_n(\|x\|) \varphi(x) dx - \text{PV} \left( \frac{1}{\|x\|} \right) (\varphi) \right| \\ &= \left| 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Psi_n(\|x\|) \varphi(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r \sin(\phi) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r \leq 1/n} r^2 \sin(\phi) (3n - n^3 r^2) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr - \int_{r \leq 1/n} r \sin(\phi) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r \leq 1/n} \sin(\phi) (3nr^2 - n^3 r^4 - r) \varphi(r, \theta, \phi) d\phi d\theta dr \right| \\ &\leq \frac{p_{1/n,0}(\varphi)}{2\pi} \int_{r \leq 1/n} |3nr^2 - n^3 r^4 - r| d\phi d\theta dr \leq \pi p_{1/n,0}(\varphi) \int_0^{1/n} |3nr^2 - n^3 r^4 - r| dr \\ &\leq \pi p_{1/n,0}(\varphi) \left( \int_0^{1/n} 3nr^2 dr + \int_0^{1/n} n^3 r^4 dr + \int_0^{1/n} r dr \right) \\ &= \pi p_{1/n,0}(\varphi) \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{5n^2} + \frac{1}{2n^2} \right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Compute  $\Delta \Psi_n$  and using this, or otherwise, compute the distributional derivative  $\Delta \text{PV}(1/\|x\|)$ .

**Solution.** We may write the Laplacian operator in spherical polar coordinates as

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}.$$

Fortunately,  $\Psi_n$  has no dependence on  $\theta$  or  $\phi$ . This makes it straightforward to calculate

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (a_n - b_n r^2) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (-2b_n r^3) = -6b_n$$

and

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi r} \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{-1}{2\pi} \right) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\Delta \Psi_n(r) = \begin{cases} -6b_n & 0 \leq r \leq 1/n \\ 0 & r > 1/n. \end{cases}$$

To compute the distributional derivative, we may evaluate the equivalent limit

$$\Delta\text{PV}\left(\frac{1}{\|x\|}\right)(\varphi) = 4\pi \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta\Psi_n(\|x\|) \varphi(x) dx.$$

Since the functions  $\Delta\Psi_n$  appear to be approximate delta functions, a candidate limit is  $-\varphi(0)$ . Observe that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta\Psi_n(x) dx = -1$ . This implies that we may consider the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta\Psi_n(\|x\|) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r^2 \sin(\phi) \Delta\Psi_n(r) (\varphi(r, \theta, \psi) - \varphi(0)) d\phi d\theta dr \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} r^2 \Delta\Psi_n(x) |\varphi(r, \theta, \psi) - \varphi(0)| d\phi d\theta dr \\ &= \frac{3n^3}{4\pi} \int_{r \leq 1/n} r^2 |\varphi(r, \theta, \psi) - \varphi(0)| d\phi d\theta dr = (*). \end{aligned}$$

Continuity of  $\varphi$  at 0 implies that given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta$  such that  $\|x\| < \delta$  implies  $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)| < \epsilon$ . We may choose  $n$  large enough that  $1/n < \delta$ . Then,

$$(*) \leq \frac{3\epsilon n^3}{4\pi} \int_{r \leq 1/n} r^2 d\phi d\theta dr = \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi}.$$

This quantity can be made arbitrarily small by increasing  $n$ . Hence,

$$\Delta\text{PV}\left(\frac{1}{\|x\|}\right)(\varphi) = -4\pi \varphi(0).$$

(e)  $\rho$  is a compactly supported, smooth function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Write down a (distributional) solution to Poisson's equation  $\Delta\Phi = -\rho$ .

**Solution.** To find a distributional solution, we will look for a solution using the results from the previous problem. Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Phi &= -\rho, \text{ which in distribution becomes} \\ \Delta\text{PV}(1/\|x\|)[\phi] &= -\delta(\phi) \\ &= -\int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(0, \theta, \psi) \sin \theta d\theta d\psi \\ &= -\phi(\mathbf{0}) \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \sin \theta d\theta d\psi \\ \Delta\text{PV}(1/\|x\|)[\phi] &= -4\pi\phi(\mathbf{0}) \end{aligned}$$

But we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PV}(1/\|x\|)[\phi] &= \text{PV}(1/\|x\|)[\Delta\phi], \text{ hence} \\ \text{PV}(1/\|x\|)[\Delta\phi] &= -4\pi\phi(\mathbf{0}) \end{aligned}$$

5. Wave equation in 3 dimensions (optional)

(a) Show that the distribution  $\frac{1}{t}\delta(\|x\| - t)$  is a distributional solution of the wave equation  $u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$  in 3 dimensions, for  $t > 0$ .

**Solution.** Let  $T = \frac{1}{t}\delta(\|x\| - t)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{tt} - \Delta T &= 0 \\ T_{tt}(\phi(r, \theta, \psi)) &= T(\phi_{tt}(r, \theta, \psi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\delta(\|x\| - t)\phi_{tt}(r, \theta, \psi) \\ &= \frac{\phi_{tt}(t, \theta, \psi)}{t}, \text{ and} \\ \Delta T(\phi) &= T(\Delta\phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\delta(\|x\| - t)\Delta\phi(r, \theta, \psi) \\ &= \frac{\Delta\phi(t, \theta, \psi)}{t} \\ &\Downarrow \\ \phi_{tt}(t, \theta, \psi) &= \Delta\phi(t, \theta, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

As we desired.

(b) Does  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t}\delta(\|x\| - t)$  define a distribution  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ?

**Solution.** Time ran out.